

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

I PRI ICATIONI NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/262,506	03/02/1999	MARK W. PERLIN	PERLIN-3CONT	9473
	90 04/08/2002 PHW A RT7		EXAMI	NER
ANSEL M. SCHWARTZ ONE STERLING PLAZA STE 304			ZEMAN, MARY K	
201 N CRAIG STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH	, PA 15215		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2002	l in C

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/262,506	PERLIN, MARK W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Mary Zeman	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Pariod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply an add will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply an add will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the odd will apply and will be odd will apply and will be odd will apply and will be odd wil	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
• 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	This action is non-final.				
Za)	wanaa except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 32 and 33 is/are w	vithdrawn from considerati	on.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and the second				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	niner				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	occented or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on israle. a) a Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in al	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationates See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have b al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(peen received in this National Stage a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
in the foreign language (15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	re provisional application h	as been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice, of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			

Application/Control Number: 09/262,506

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 32-38 are pending in this application. Claims 16-31 have been canceled. Claims 32 and 33 stand withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

This application contains claims 32 and 33 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/01, 8/27/01 and 11/7/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Any rejection not reiterated below has been withdrawn.

Rejections Maintained

Claims 34-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,541,067. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method steps of the '067 patent meet the limitations of the pending claims in the present application. The claims of the patent are directly drawn to methods of genotyping a nucleic acid sample, wherein a polynucleotide repeat region is amplified, characterized, and used to produce a genotype of that location. Applicant has provided no specific arguments regarding these rejections.

Claims 34-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,580,728. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the methods of the patent fall within the scope of the instant invention. The claims of the patent are directly drawn to methods of genotyping a nucleic acid sample, wherein a polynucleotide repeat region is amplified, characterized, and used to produce a genotype of that location. Applicant has provided no specific arguments regarding these rejections.

Claims 34-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,876,933. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Application/Control Number: 09/262,506

Art Unit: 1631

the methods of the patent fall within the scope of the instant invention. The claims of the patent are directly drawn to methods of genotyping a nucleic acid sample, wherein a polynucleotide repeat region is amplified, characterized, and used to produce a genotype of that location.

Applicant has provided no specific arguments regarding these rejections.

New Grounds of Rejection Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 34-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This is a new grounds of rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendments. It is noted that Applicant has filed no specific arguments in regard to the previous rejections under this statute.

In claim 34, one does not actually physically produce an allele by the claimed method steps. One can *identify* an allele or genotype based upon the information obtained and analyzed.

In claim 37 it is entirely unclear where this limitation is to be added to the method. There is no indication that the nucleic acid sample is from a particular individual, or that the process claimed is linked to any particular individual such that the limitation of claim 37 can be performed.

Claim 38 appears to have a typographical error, in that "comprising an allele with another allele" should read "comparing an allele with another allele." If this is not an error, it is entirely unclear how one can *comprise* one allele with another.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/262,506

Art Unit: 1631

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 305-7133. The examiner can generally be reached between the hours of 7:00 am and 1:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached at (703) 308-4028.

Official fax numbers for this Art Unit are: (703) 308-4242, (703) 872-9306. An *unofficial* fax number, direct to the Examiner is (703) 746 5279. Please call prior to use of this number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC1600 Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

mkz 4/4/02

> MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 1631